





















SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC















































































September 1, 2022

The Honorable Governor Gavin Newsom California State Capitol 1021 O Street, Suite 9000 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: SB 1338 (UMBERG) - CARE Court: VETO REQUEST

Dear Governor Newsom,

On behalf of our organizations and our clients and constituents, we respectfully request your veto of SB 1338 due to the many negative consequences that will result from the creation of CARE Court – a new civil court system that will lower the legal standard to order mental health treatment against the wishes of a person with a disability. Collectively, we advance and protect the civil rights of Californians living with disabilities, experiencing houselessness, including Black, Indigenous, Latinx, and other People of Color, LGBTQ+ and others who will be disparately impacted by CARE Court and have maintained strong opposition to SB 1338. CARE Court will violate bedrock due process, constitutional and disability rights.

Without input from its intended beneficiaries, CARE Court was rolled out by your administration in March 2022. As former United States Senator Tom Harkin, co-author of the Americans with Disabilities Act, remarked: "Since the CARE Court proposal is designed to improve the lives of people with significant mental health disabilities, it is critically important that this population be engaged, respected and listened to on the issue of how best to help them." Throughout this legislative cycle, neither the authors nor your administration have listened to or addressed the mounting concerns and criticism from impacted people. 3,4,5

We understand that you are trying to address the growing homelessness and mental health crises in California, but CARE Court is not the solution. In fact, CARE Court is likely to do real harm to the populations you are trying to help. By targeting people with schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders, studies show Black, Indigenous and People of Color will be

_

¹ Disability Rights California and Advocates Letters to the Legislature and Governor in Opposition to SB 1338, available online at: https://www.disabilityrightsca.org/latest-news/disability-rights-california-information-on-care-court#letters "Return to Main Document"

² Former United States Senator Tom Harkin. Op-Ed: Gov. Newsom, Listen to Disabled People and Rethink CARE Court, California Black Media, available online at: (currently being published) <u>"Return to Main Document"</u>

³ Los Angeles Times Editorial Board: The false promise of Gov. Gavin Newsom's CARE courts, LA Times, available online at: <u>Editorial: The false promise of Gov. Gavin Newsom's CARE courts - Los Angeles Times (latimes.com)</u> (dated July 26, 2022). <u>"Return to Main Document"</u>

⁴ San Francisco Chronicle Editorial: Don't count on Newsom's CARE Courts to save San Francisco, San Francisco Chronicle, available online at: <u>Editorial: Don't count on Newsom's CARE Courts to save San Francisco (sfchronicle.com)</u> (dated July 31, 2022). <u>"Return to Main Document"</u>

⁵ Kang, Jay Caspian. Op-Ed: California's Fight Against Homelessness Has Turned Desperate and Dangerous, New York Times, available online at: Opinion | California's Fight Against Homelessness Has Turned Desperate and Dangerous - The New York Times (nytimes.com) (dated June 27, 2022). "Return to Main Document"

disproportionately affected by this new program. ^{6,7,8} A court system mandated to oversee the mental health treatment of people will be traumatizing and will set back decades of recovery tools designed to help those most marginalized.

CARE Court should not be an end run around reform to the Lanterman-Petris-Short Act (LPS). Disability Rights California has offered necessary recommendations for LPS improvement to better address the needs of people with mental health disabilities.⁹

Notably, proponents of CARE Court rely on false premises – that people are rejecting offers of housing; that access to behavioral health services based on one evaluation will solve a person's mental health disabilities; and, that a civil court is the least restrictive setting. In reality, low-cost housing stock is at a near record low and affordable housing options have years-long waitlists of people desperate for a home. Recovery takes more than clinical appointments with court dates and in fact, recovery is primarily found to be successful when accessible, affordable housing is combined with community-based treatment settings, surrounded by peers, and provided through *voluntary*, accessible means. When a person is faced with defending their case before a judge without agency over their own care, the least restrictive setting is not court. Finally, CARE Court will contribute to the re-institutionalization of people with disabilities, repeating a horrific history of civil rights abuses.

CARE Court is a costly mistake, diverting resources from people who need housing and services. This court system is likely to cost in excess of billions of dollars as estimated by the Assembly Appropriations Committee recognizing the figures offered by the counties in California. This state mandate will fail to deliver on what it promises to accomplish and will divert resources from other urgently needed programs.

⁶ Kate Cimini, Black people disproportionately homeless in California, Cal Matters, October 5, 2019 (updated February 27, 2021) (https://calmatters.org/california-divide/2019/10/black-people-dispropor...). "Return to Main Document"

⁷ Robert C. Schwartz, Ph.D., et al., Racial disparities in psychotic disorder diagnosis: A review of empirical literature, World Journal of Psychiatry 2014: 4:4, 133-140. <u>"Return to Main Document"</u>

⁸ California Health Care Foundation, Health Disparities by Race and Ethnicity in California: Pattern of Inequity (October 2021) at 33 (https://www.chcf.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/DisparitiesAlmanacRaceE...). "Return to Main Document"

⁹ Disability Rights California's Position Statement on the Lanterman-Petris-Short Act, available online at: <u>Disability Rights California's Position Statement on the Lanterman-Petris-Short Act | Disability Rights California</u> (dated December 14, 2021). <u>"Return to Main Document"</u>

As well intentioned as CARE Court may be, it will inevitably harm and stigmatize people with mental health disabilities, especially people within communities of color and members of the LGBTQIA+ community. CARE Court is exploitative of poor people who need mental health care and will create a chilling effect preventing people from seeking treatment and care. This bill runs contrary to the positive direction the Legislature had taken in the last few years by funding more community-based mental health care treatment centers, by increasing housing funds for extremely low-income Californians, and by decreasing the presence of law enforcement and court involvement in mental health crises. For these reasons, we oppose SB 1338 and respectfully urge you to veto the bill.

Sincerely,

Andrew J. Imparato Executive Director Disability Rights California

Rebuca Dozeles

Sharon Land

Andra J. Infareto

Rebecca Gonzales Dir. Of Gov. Relations and Political Affairs, National Assoc. of Social Workers - CA

Sharon L. Rapport Dir., California State Policy Corporation for Supportive Housing (CSH)

Ira Burnim Legal Director Bazelon Center

Sy G. Buruin

Glenn Backes, MSW, MPH Policy Consultant American Civil Liberties Union California Action

Claudia Center Legal Director Disability Rights Education & Defense Fund (DREDF)

Tane Boden

Lin Lewis

Paul Boden Executive Director Western Regional Advocacy Project (WRAP)

Kim Lewis
Managing Attorney
National Health Law Program

Cynthia Castillo Policy Advocate Western Center on Law and Poverty

Mari Castaldi Senior Legislative Advocate Housing California

Mani (for

Andrea Wagner Interim Executive Dir. CA Assoc. of Mental Health Peer Run Orgs.

andra & Wagner

Michael Bien, Partner Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld LLP

Mell (Bre

Dalo (Van-

Asha Albuquerque Lead Attorney

Law Foundation of Silicon Valley

Janka Gli

Sasha Ellis Senior Attorney Bay Area Legal Aid Frank SmithWaters

Director The SmithWaters Grp.

Haring Gernandz

Karen Hernández Lead Organizer People's Budget Orange County

David Duran

David Duran, Co-Founder Housing is a Human Right Orange County (HHROC) & People's Homeless Task Force-OC

Jael Barnes Pretrial Justice Organizer Decarerate Sacramento

Stace Viramoto

Stacie Hiramoto, MSW Director Racial & Ethnic Mental Health Disparities Coalition (REMHDCO)

Cuc 1 Jana Eric Tars, Legal Director

Whole J. Faguren

National Homelessness Law Center

Kelechi Ubozoh

Kelechi Ubozoh (Individual)

Oz. Z. 2441

David Mauroff, CEO San Francisco Pretrial **Diversion Project**

RALA. Eleb

Bob Erlenbusch **Executive Director** Sacramento Regional Coalition to End Homelessness

Khalil Ferguson, Secretary California Democratic Party Black Caucus Legislative Committee

Tak Allen, Chair **CDP Black Caucus** Leg. Committee

Amanda Andere CEO

Funders Together to End Homelessness

Larry Dodson Pastor **New Life Ministries** of Tulare County

Tony Chicotel California Advocates for Nursing Home Reform

1 Many Chiestel

Paula Lomazzi **Executive Director** Sacramento Homeless Organizing Committee

Stuart Seaborn Managing Dir., Lit. Disability Rights Advocates

Maribel Nunez **Executive Director** Inland Equity Partnership

Melissa A. Morris Staff Attorney Public Interest Law Project Jessica Lehman **Executive Director** Senior & Disability Action

psica Lehman

Pavithra Menon Supervising Attorney Mental Health Advocacy Services (MHAS)

Heidi L. Strunk President and CEO Mental Health America of California

Katherine Pérez Director The Coelho Center for Disability Law. Policy & Innovation

Katherine OS

Kara Chien

Kara Chien, Managing Attorney Mental Health Unit San Francisco Public Defender's Office

Jordan Kough **Executive Director** Disability Rights Legal Center **Paul Simmons Executive Director** Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance - CA

Jamin Polet

Yasmin Peled Senior Policy Advocate Justice in Aging

Emily Harris Policy Director Ella Baker Center for Human Rights

Matt Gallagher **Assistant Director** Cal Voices

Modern Gardyles

Andrea Rivera Senior Legislative Advocate California Pan-Ethnic Health Network (CPEHN)

Arnold Sowell, Jr. **Executive Director** NextGen California

m Swelf

Family the

Sally Jinmar Sally Zinman Long time Consumer/ client/survivor advocate

Dan Okenfuss Public Policy Manager California Foundation for Independent Living Centers

Dulhanfu

Rhonda Smith **Executive Director** California Black Health Network Affordable Housing

Catherine A. Rodman, Esq., Director Advocates

Mari

Camilo Pérez-Bustillo Executive Director National Lawyers' Guild San Francisco Bay Area Chapter

Mehrsa Imani Director of Advocacy & Leadership San Diego Housing Monique Berlanga Executive Director Centro Legal de la Raza

Monique Berlango

CourtneyPoole

Courtney Poole Showing Up for Racial Justice Sacramento

Vera Calloway

Founder

Calloway Holistic Peer Concepts

CC:

Honorable Toni Atkins, President pro Tempore, California State Senate Honorable Anthony Rendon, Speaker, California State Assembly Dr. Mark Ghaly, Secretary, California Health & Human Services Agency Stephanie Welch, Deputy Secretary of Behavioral Health, California Health & Human Services Agency

Tam Ma, Deputy Legislative Secretary, Office of Governor Newsom Jessica Devencenzi, Office of Governor Newsom

Christy Bouma, Legislative Affairs Secretary, Office of Governor Newsom Kim McCoy Wade, Senior Advisor on Aging, Disability, and Alzheimer's, Office of Governor Newsom

Corrin Buchanan, Deputy Secretary for Policy and Strategic Planning, California Health & Human Services Agency